A CLASS OF PARABOLIC k-SUBGROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC k-VARIETIES

A. G. HELMINCK AND G. F. HELMINCK

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a field k of characteristic not 2, σ an involution of G defined over k, H a k-open subgroup of the fixed point group of σ , G_k (resp. H_k) the set of k-rational points of G (resp. H) and G_k/H_k the corresponding symmetric k-variety. A representation induced from a parabolic k-subgroup of G generically contributes to the Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G_k/H_k)$ if and only if the parabolic k-subgroup is σ -split. So for a study of these induced representations a detailed description of the H_k -conjucagy classes of these σ -split parabolic k-subgroups is needed.

In this paper we give a description of these conjugacy classes for general symmetric k-varieties. This description can be refined to give a more detailed description in a number of cases. These results are of importance for studying representations for real and $\mathfrak p$ -adic symmetric k-varieties.

Introduction

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a field k of characteristic not 2 and σ an involution of G defined over k. If H is a k-open subgroup of the fixed point group of σ , then G_k/H_k is called a symmetric k-variety. Here G_k (resp. H_k) denotes the set of k-rational points of G (resp. H). In the last few decades the representation theory of these varieties has been studied extensively for a number of base fields. The case $k = \mathbb{R}$ is probably best known. Here the representation theory and Plancherel formulas for symmetric k-varieties (also called semisimple symmetric spaces) have been studied by many people. Best known is the work of Harish-Chandra [13], which has been extended to general real symmetric k-varieties by many others, including Flensted-Jensen, Oshima, Sekiguchi, Matsuki, Brylinski, Delorme, Schlichtkrull and van den Ban (see [9, 29, 28, 8, 1, 2]). More recently several attempts have been made to begin a systematic study of the representation theory of symmetric k-varieties over other base fields. Examples of this are work of Lusztig and his students on symmetric k-varieties over finite fields (see [26]) and a number of preliminary results about the representation theory of symmetric k-varieties over local fields (see for example [25] and [22]). In studying the representation theory of these symmetric k-varieties one runs quickly into various questions about their structure and geometry. This paper deals with some questions we encountered while studying induced representations for \mathfrak{p} -adic symmetric k-varieties. This concerns the following. Let P be a parabolic k-subgroup of G with Levi decomposition P = LN, where N is the unipotent radical of P. One

Received by the editors December 15, 1995 and, in revised form, December 15, 1996. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20G15, 20G20, 22E15, 22E46, 53C35.

considers continuous irreducible representations ρ of P_k on a Hilbert space that are trivial on N_k . Let $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_k}^{G_k}(\rho)$ denote the representation of G_k obtained by inducing ρ from P_k to G_k . In order that the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_k}^{G_k}(\rho)$ contribute to the Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G_k/H_k)$, it must have H_k -fixed distribution vectors. This can only be true for generic ρ if P is σ -split. Here one can expect that the "most continuous part" comes from the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. In the case $k = \mathbb{R}$, this is known to be true by the work of van den Ban and Delorme using ideas due to Harish-Chandra; see [1, 8, 10, 11, 12]. For a study of these H_k -fixed distribution vectors a detailed description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of these σ -split parabolic k-subgroups is needed. This is exactly what most of this paper is about. We will first give a description of these in the general case, and then give a more detailed description in the special case of groups with a Cartan involution (this includes the case of real semisimple symmetric spaces).

Every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup P of G can be characterized as P = P(F) with F a facet of $X_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and A a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G contained in P. So, modulo the action of the Weyl group of A, one can hope to characterize these σ -split parabolic k-subgroups as standard parabolic k-subgroups with respect to a maximal k-split torus, containing the maximal (σ, k) -split torus. Up to H_k -conjugacy of the maximal (σ, k) -split tori, this is seen to be the case:

Corollary 2.8. Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G, and for each $i \in I$ let $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G and Δ^i a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$. If P is any σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then there exist $i \in I$, $h \in H_k$, $n \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ and a subset Δ_1 of Δ^i such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_1}$.

This result can be even more refined for minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. See Theorem 2.9 for details.

Another way to characterize the H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups is to look at the H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k which are contained in $(HP)_k$ (see Theorem 3.1). Here P is a fixed minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. For k a local field this corresponds exactly to the open orbits:

Theorem 3.6. Assume k is a local field and let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. There is a one to one correspondence between the open H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k and $\bigcup_{i \in I} W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$.

To obtain a more detailed description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups one will first need a description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of the maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. Unfortunately, in general the maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G are not conjugate under H_k . In fact in most cases there are infinitely many conjugacy classes. In a number of special cases, like symmetric k-varieties over local fields, there are only finitely many conjugacy classes, and for these one can get a more detailed characterization of these H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori (see [17, 18, 19]).

In the remainder of this paper we discuss some special cases for which one can get a more detailed description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. These are symmetric k-varieties over local fields (see 2.11), symmetric k-varieties with anisotropic fixed point group (see 3.7) and finally the the case of "groups with a Cartan involution" (see section 4). The latter were introduced in [23] as a generalization of real reductive groups. For these groups there exists a second

involution θ (the Cartan involution) which commutes with σ . As in the case of real groups most of the structure of these groups can be derived from the additional structure provided by this Cartan involution. In this case the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups can be reduced to $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups. In section 4 we first show that every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup is H_k -conjugate to a $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup (see Proposition 4.13). For the $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups we can then reduce to $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes. In the remainder of section 4 we use this reduction to $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups to refine the characterization of the orbits in the previous sections.

For $k = \mathbb{R}$ the $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups occur in the study of the principal series representations associated with real symmetric k-varieties (see [1, 8]). The results in section 4 provide an algebraization of the results for real reductive groups and also a generalization to the setting of "groups with a Cartan involution".

A brief outline of this paper follows. In section 1 we set the notation and review some basic facts from [23] and [16] about symmetric k-varieties, (σ, k) -split tori and σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. Then we prove some results which will be needed for the characterization of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups of G. Section 2 is devoted to a characterization of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups for general symmetric k-varieties, while in section 3 we give a characterization in terms of the open orbits. The final section deals with the case of "groups with a Cartan involution".

We would like to thank the referee for a number of helpful suggestions.

1. Preliminaries and Recollections

In this section we set the notations and recall a few results from [23], [16] and [17]. We use as our basic reference for reductive groups the papers of Borel and Tits [5, 6] and also the books of Humphreys [24] and Springer [34]. We shall follow their notations and terminology.

1.1. Given an algebraic group G, the identity component is denoted by G^0 . We use L(G) or \mathfrak{g} , the corresponding lower case German letter, for the Lie algebra of G. If H is a subset of G, $N_G(H)$ (resp. $Z_G(H)$) is the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of H in G. We write Z(G) for the center of G. The commutator subgroup of G is denoted by D(G) or [G, G].

An algebraic group defined over k will also be called an algebraic k-group. For an extension K of k, the set of K-rational points of G is denoted by G_K or G(K).

If G is a reductive k-group and A a torus of G, then we denote by $X^*(A)$ (resp. $X_*(A)$) the group of characters of A (resp. one-parameter subgroups of A) and by $\Phi(G,A)$ the set of the roots of A in G. Let $W(G,A) = N_G(A)/Z_G(A)$ denote the Weyl group of G relative to A. If $\alpha \in \Phi(G,A)$, then let U_α denote the unipotent subgroup of G corresponding to G. If G is a maximal torus, then G is one-dimensional. Given a quasi-closed subset G of G, G, the group G (resp. G) is defined in G, G: is unipotent, G is said to be unipotent and often one writes G:

Throughout the paper G will denote a connected reductive algebraic k-group.

1.2. **Involutions of** G. Let G be a connected algebraic group, σ an automorphism of G of order two and $G_{\sigma} = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$ the set of fixed points of σ . This is a subgroup of G, and is reductive if G is reductive. If G is semisimple and simply connected, then G_{σ} is connected, but in general G_{σ} is not necessarily connected. When G and σ are defined over k, the automorphism σ will also be called a k-involution of G.

If G is reductive and H a k-open subgroup of G_{σ} , then we call the variety G/H a symmetric variety and the variety G_k/H_k a symmetric k-variety. Symmetric varieties are spherical.

Define a morphism $\tau: G \to G$ by $\tau(x) = x\sigma(x)^{-1}$, $x \in G$. The image $\tau(G)$ is a closed k-subvariety of G, and τ induces an isomorphism of the coset space G/G_{σ} onto $\tau(G)$. Note that $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$ if and only if $y^{-1}x \in G_{\sigma}$ and $\sigma(\tau(x)) = \tau(x)^{-1}$ for $x \in G$.

1.3. If T is a σ -stable torus of G, then we write $T_{\sigma}^+ = (T \cap G_{\sigma})^0$ and $T_{\sigma}^- = \{x \in T \mid \sigma(x) = x^{-1}\}^0$. It is easy to verify that the product map

$$\mu: T_{\sigma}^{+} \times T_{\sigma}^{-} \to T, \ \mu(t_{1}, t_{2}) = t_{1}t_{2},$$

is a separable isogeny. In particular $T=T_{\sigma}^+T_{\sigma}^-$, and $T_{\sigma}^+\cap T_{\sigma}^-$ is a finite group. (In fact it is an elementary abelian 2-group.) The automorphisms of $\Phi(G,T)$ and W(G,T) induced by σ will also be denoted by σ .

Recall that a torus A is called σ -split if $\sigma(a) = a^{-1}$ for every $a \in A$. To the symmetric k-variety G_k/H_k one can associate a natural root system. To see this we consider the following tori:

Definition 1.4. A k-torus A of G is called (σ, k) -split if it is both σ -split and k-split.

Consider a maximal (σ, k) -split torus A in G. In [23, 5.9] it was shown that $\Phi(G, A)$ is a root system and $N_{G_k}(A)/Z_{G_k}(A)$ is the Weyl group of this root system. We can also obtain this root system by restricting the root system of G_k . Namely, let $A^0 \supset A$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G. Then $A = (A^0)_{\sigma}^-$, and $\Phi(G, A)$ can be identified with $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma} = \{\alpha | A \neq 0 \mid \alpha \in \Phi(G, A^0)\}$. One can also choose compatible orders on these root systems as follows:

1.5. Characterization of σ . For each σ -stable torus A, the morphism $\sigma: G \longrightarrow G$ induces a natural action σ on $\Phi(G,A)$. For a proper description of this action we need to refine the notion of linear order to this setting. Let A^0 be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G and let $X = X^*(A^0)$, $\Phi = \Phi(A^0)$ and $X_0(\sigma) = \{\chi \in X \mid \sigma(\chi) = \chi\}$.

Definition 1.6. A linear order \succ on X is called a σ -order if it has the following property:

(1.1) if
$$\chi \in X$$
, $\chi \succ 0$, and $\chi \notin X_0(\sigma)$, then $\sigma(\chi) \prec 0$.

By [16, §2] σ -orders on (X, Φ) exist. If π is the natural projection from X to $X/X_0(\sigma)$ and $\Phi_0(\sigma) = X_0(\sigma) \cap \Phi$, then we call $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma} = \pi(\Phi - \Phi_0(\sigma))$ the set of restricted roots of Φ relative to σ . If Δ is a basis of Φ with respect to a σ -order on X, then we write $\Delta_0(\sigma) = \Delta \cap \Phi_0(\sigma)$ and $\overline{\Delta}_{\sigma} = \pi(\Delta - \Delta_0(\sigma))$. We will also call a basis of Φ with respect to a σ -order on X a σ -basis of (X, Φ) . We write $W_0(\sigma)$ for the Weyl group of $\Phi_0(\sigma)$, which we identify with a subgroup of $W(A^0)$.

1.7. Characterization of σ on a σ -basis. Let Δ be a σ -basis of (X, Φ) . In [16, $\S 2$] we have shown that the action of σ on Φ can be decomposed as

(1.2)
$$\sigma = -\operatorname{id} \cdot \sigma^* \cdot w_0(\sigma),$$

where $w_0(\sigma) \in W_0(\sigma)$ is the longest element of $W_0(\sigma)$ with respect to $\Delta_0(\sigma)$ and

$$\sigma^* \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \Phi, \Delta, \Delta_0(\sigma)) = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \Phi) \mid \phi(\Delta) = \Delta \text{ and } \phi(\Delta_0(\sigma)) = \Delta_0(\sigma) \},$$

- $(\sigma^*)^2 = id$. Note that if Φ is irreducible, then $\sigma^* = id$ or is a non-trivial diagram automorphism of Δ . On Δ the action of σ can now be described as follows:
- (1.3) If $\alpha \in \Delta_0(\sigma)$, then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$.
- (1.4) If $\alpha \in \Delta \Delta_0(\sigma)$, then $\sigma(\alpha) = -\sigma^*(\alpha) + \beta$ with $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$.

For more details see $[16, \S 2]$.

Note that in the case in which A is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus and $A^0 \supset A$ a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, then in fact $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma} = \Phi(G, A)$ is a (not reduced) root system.

- 1.8. **Properties of** $Z_G(A)$. We will need several properties of the centralizer of a maximal (σ, k) -split torus. The key result in the study of these is the following result (see [23, 4.5]).
- **Lemma 1.9.** Let A be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. Let C, L_1 , L_2 denote the central, anisotropic and isotropic factors of $Z_G(A)$ over k respectively. Then the following conditions hold:
 - (i) A is the unique maximal (σ, k) -split torus of $Z_G(A)$.
- (ii) $L_2 \subset H$.
- (iii) If A^0 is any maximal k-split torus of $Z_G(A)$, then A^0 is σ -stable and moreover $CL_1 \subset Z_G(A^0)$.

Using this result, we can now prove the following property of the Weyl group $W_0(\sigma)$ of $\Phi_0(\sigma)$.

- **Lemma 1.10.** Let A be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G with A^- a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. For $\alpha \in \Phi(A)$ let U_{α} denote the corresponding unipotent subgroup of G. Then we have the following:
 - (i) $U_{\alpha} \subset H$, for all $\alpha \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$.
 - (ii) $W_0(\sigma)$ has representatives in H_k .
- *Proof.* (i). Write $Z_G(A^-) = C \cdot L_1 \cdot L_2$ as an almost direct product of k-groups where C, L_1 , L_2 denote respectively the central, anisotropic and isotropic factors of $Z_G(A^-)$ over k. Then $U_{\alpha} \subset L_2$. Since we know by Lemma 1.9 that $L_2 \subset H$, the result is clear.
- (ii). For $\alpha \in \Phi(A)$ let $G^{\alpha} = Z_G((\ker \alpha)^0)$. This is a restricted rank one k-subgroup of G. Write $G^{\alpha} = C_1 \cdot G_1 \cdot G_2$ as an almost direct product of k-groups where C_1 , G_1 , G_2 denote respectively the central, anisotropic and isotropic factors of G^{α} over k. Then the reflection $s_{\alpha} \in W(A)$ corresponding to α has representatives in G_2 . On the other hand, if $\alpha \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$, then $G^{\alpha} \subset Z_G(A^-)$. So $G_2 \subset L_2 \subset H$. It follows that for each $\alpha \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$ the reflection s_{α} has representatives in H_k . Since $W_0(\sigma)$ is generated by these reflections, the result follows.

1.11. σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. Associated with the (σ, k) -split tori is a class of parabolic k-subgroups. This correspondence is as follows. Let A be a k-split torus of G, $\Phi(G,A)$ the set of roots of A in G and $X_*(A)$ the set of one-parameter subgroups of A. By chambers and facets of $X_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we mean those with respect to the hyperplanes $\ker(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Phi(G,A)$. The parabolic k-subgroups of G containing G are in bijective correspondence with the facets of G containing G is determined by

$$\Phi(P(F), A) = \{ \alpha \in \Phi(G, A) \mid \langle x, \alpha \rangle \ge 0, \ x \in F \}.$$

For $\lambda \in X_*(A)$, let

$$\Phi(\lambda, A) = \{ \alpha \in \Phi(G, A) \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \ge 0 \}.$$

If $F(\lambda)$ is the facet containing λ , i.e. the facet of $X_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ determined by the hyperplanes $\ker(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \{\alpha \in \Phi(G, A) \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$, then $\Phi(P(F(\lambda)), A) = \Phi(\lambda, A)$. For simplicity, we write $P(\lambda)$ for the parabolic k-subgroup $P(F(\lambda))$ of G containing A.

If A is a (σ, k) -split torus, then clearly any element $\lambda \in X_*(A)$ gives a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup $P(\lambda)$. Conversely, since a parabolic k-subgroup contains a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, any σ -split parabolic k-subgroup is of this form, as follows from the following result.

Lemma 1.12. Let P be a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G and A a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of P. Then there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A^-)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $P \cap \sigma(P) = Z_G(\lambda)$.

For a proof of this result, see [23, 4.6].

The minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups now are described by the maximal (σ, k) -split tori (see [23, 4.7]).

Proposition 1.13. Let P be a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G and A a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of P. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) P is a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G.
- (ii) $P \cap \sigma(P)$ has no proper σ -split parabolic k-subgroups.
- (iii) σ is trivial on the isotropic factor of $P \cap \sigma(P)$ over k.
- (iv) A^- is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, and $Z_G(A^-) = P \cap \sigma(P)$.

The minimal σ -split parabolic subgroups of G are conjugate under H, as follows from the next result (see [23, 4.8]).

Lemma 1.14. Let P be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G and P_0 a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G contained in P. Then the following conditions hold:

- (i) $H^0P = H^0P_0$.
- (ii) H^0P_0 is open in G.

Unfortunately the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups are not necessarily conjugate under H_k . Similarly, the maximal (σ, k) -split tori may not be conjugate under H_k . The best we can do is the following result (see [23, 4.11]).

Proposition 1.15. Let P be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $g \in G_k \cap HP$.
- (ii) $g \in G_k$, and gPg^{-1} is a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G.

For the maximal (σ, k) -split tori we can prove a slightly stronger result (see [23, 10.3]).

Proposition 1.16. Let A_1 and A_2 be maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G and A a maximal k-split torus of G containing A_1 . Then there exists $g \in (H^0Z_G(A))_k$ such that $gA_1g^{-1} = A_2$.

The following example illustrates that in general, the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups are not conjugate under H_k .

Example 1.17. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$, $\sigma(x) = {}^t x^{-1}$, B = the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices and A the group of diagonal matrices. Then G, B, A and σ are defined over \mathbb{Q} ; B is a minimal σ -split parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of G and A a maximal (σ, \mathbb{Q}) -split torus of G, which is also maximal \mathbb{Q} -split. If $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2)$, then $\sigma(g) = (\det g)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$g^{-1}\sigma(g) = (\det g)^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} b^2 + d^2 & -(ab + cd) \\ -(ab + cd) & a^2 + c^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So if $g \in SL(2)$, then $g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in A^- = A = N_{Z_G(A^-)}(A)$ if and only if ab + cd = 0. It follows that g is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} ut & -v \\ u & vt \end{pmatrix}$ with $u, v, t \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $uv(1+t^2) = \det g = 1$. In this case we have

(1.5)
$$g^{-1}\sigma(g) = \begin{pmatrix} v^2(1+t^2) & 0\\ 0 & u^2(1+t^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover from Proposition 1.15 it follows that gBg^{-1} is a σ -split parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of G. That gBg^{-1} does not need to be $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugate to B can be seen as follows. Let $y = hb \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}B_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $h \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} a & u \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in B_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $y^{-1}\sigma(y) = b^{-1}\sigma(b) \in A$ implies that $y^{-1}\sigma(y) = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}$. But from (1.5) it follows that $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ is of this form if and only if we can choose $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $1 + t^2$ is a square of a rational number. It follows that gBg^{-1} is not always conjugate to B by an element of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note that in this case G has in fact infinitely many $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups, and consequently also infinitely many $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, \mathbb{Q}) -split tori of G.

Remark 1.18. These σ -split parabolic k-subgroups are of importance in the representation theory of these symmetric k-varieties. For example, in the case that $k = \mathbb{R}$, the representations induced from a parabolic k-subgroup P contribute to the Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G_k/H_k)$ if P is a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup. The contributions to the most "continuous part" of the Plancherel decomposition come from minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups (see [1]).

1.19. Orbits of minimal parabolic k-subgroups on G_k/H_k . The orbits of the minimal parabolic k-subgroups on G_k/H_k play a central role in the study of the symmetric k-varieties. They are also of importance for the study of the σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. In the following we give a brief description of these orbits, which can be found in [23]. Let P be a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G, A a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of P, $N = N_G(A)$, $Z = Z_G(A)$ and $W = W(A) = N_G(A)/Z_G(A)$ the corresponding Weyl group. As in [23, 6.7], set $\mathcal{V}_k = \{x \in G_k \mid \tau(x) \in N_k\}$. The group $Z_k \times H_k$ acts on \mathcal{V}_k by $(x, z)y = xyz^{-1}$, $(x, z) \in Z_k \times H_k$, $y \in \mathcal{V}_k$. Let V_k be the set of $(Z_k \times H_k)$ -orbits on \mathcal{V}_k . If $v \in V_k$, we let $x(v) \in \mathcal{V}_k$ be a representative of the orbit v in \mathcal{V}_k . The inclusion map $\mathcal{V}_k \to G_k$

induces a bijection of the set V_k of $(Z_k \times H_k)$ -orbits on \mathcal{V}_k onto the set of $(P_k \times H_k)$ -orbits on G_k (see [23, §6]). The set V_k is in general infinite. In a number of cases one can show that there are only finitely many $(P_k \times H_k)$ -orbits on G_k . If k is algebraically closed, the finiteness of V_k was proved by Springer [35]. The finiteness of the orbit decomposition for $k = \mathbb{R}$ was discussed by Wolf [36], Rossmann [32] and Matsuki [27]. For general local fields this result can be found in Helminck-Wang [23]. An example showing that in most cases the set V_k is infinite can be found in [23, 6.12].

1.20. We conclude this section by addressing the following questions, which arise in the study of the induced representations associated with these symmetric k-varieties. "When can a minimal parabolic k-subgroup P be embedded in a proper σ -split parabolic k-subgroup, and when can P be embedded in a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup?" The latter question will prove to be related to the open orbits in $P_k \setminus G_k / H_k$ (see also Theorem 3.6). This all follows from the following results.

Proposition 1.21. Let P_0 be a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G and let σ be as above. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) There exists a proper σ -split parabolic k-subgroup P of G with $P \supset P_0$.
- (ii) P_0 is not σ -stable.
- (iii) P_0H is not closed in G.
- Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Assume $P \supset P_0$ a proper σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. Let $A \subset P_0$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, which exists by [23, 2.5]. By Lemma 1.12, there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A^-)$, such that $P = P(\lambda)$. Since $P = P(\lambda)$ is a proper σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, there exists $\alpha \in \Phi(A)$ such that $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle > 0$. If P_0 were σ -stable, then by [23, 3.5] $Z_G(A) = Z_G(A^+)$, and A^+ is a maximal k-split torus of H. So also $\langle \sigma(\alpha), \lambda \rangle > 0$. But since P is σ -split it follows that P and $\sigma(P)$ are opposite, and hence $\langle \sigma(\alpha), \lambda \rangle < 0$. It follows that P_0 is not σ -stable.
- $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. If P_0H is closed in G, then by [23, 1.7] $P_0 \cap \sigma(P_0)$ contains a parabolic k-subgroup of G and, since P_0 is minimal, it follows that $\sigma(P_0) = P_0$, which contradicts the fact that P_0 is not σ -stable.
- $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let $A \subset P_0$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, and take $\lambda \in X_*(A^-)$ regular. Then, since $\sigma(\lambda) = -\lambda$, the parabolic k-subgroup $P(\lambda)$ is σ -split. If $P(\lambda) = G$, then $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi(A)$. Since $\lambda \in X_*(A^-)$ is regular, it follows that A^+ is a maximal k-split torus of H and $Z_G(A) = Z_G(A^+)$. From [23, 3.5] it follows then that P_0 is σ -stable. But then by [23, 1.7] P_0H is closed in G, which contradicts the assumption.

Corollary 1.22. Let P_0 be a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G and let σ be as above. Then P_0 is contained in a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup P of G if and only if HP is open in G.

Proof. This result follows from Proposition 1.21 and [23, 9.2].

2. H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups

In this section we will give a characterization of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. These conjugacy classes play a central role in the study of H_k -invariant distribution vectors of representations associated with these

symmetric k-varieties G_k/H_k , both when $k = \mathbb{R}$ (see [13]) and when $k = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (see [22]).

- 2.1. Let P be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup and let $P_1 \subset P$ be a minimal parabolic k-subgroup. By [23, 2.4] there exists a σ -stable maximal k-split torus A in P_1 . The following result will be useful in the characterization of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups.
- **Lemma 2.2.** Let A_1 and A_2 be maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G and $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ maximal k-split tori of G (i = 1, 2). Then A_1 and A_2 are H_k -conjugate if and only if A_1^0 and A_2^0 are H_k -conjugate.
- Proof. Assume first that $h \in H_k$ is such that $hA_1h^{-1} = A_2$. Let $A_3^0 = hA_1^0h^{-1}$. Then A_2^0 and A_3^0 are maximal k-split tori of $Z_G(A_2)$. Since $(A_2^0)^+$ and $(A_3^0)^+$ are maximal k-split tori of $Z_G(A_2) \cap H$, there exists $h_1 \in (Z_G(A_2) \cap H)_k$ such that $h_1(A_3^0)^+h_1^{-1} = (A_2^0)^+$. But then $h_1hA_1^0h^{-1}h_1^{-1} = A_2^0$, which proves the result. \square
- Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. Every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup is conjugate with one containing one of the A_i .
- **Lemma 2.3.** Any σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G is conjugate under H_k to a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup containing one of the A_i $(i \in I)$.
- *Proof.* Assume P is a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. Let $P_1 \subset P$ be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup and let $A \subset P_1$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, which exists by [23, 2.2]. Then by Proposition 1.13 A^- is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. Let $h \in H_k$ be such that $hA^-h^{-1} = A_i$, for some $i \in I$. Then $A_i \subset hPh^{-1}$, which proves the result.
- Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be as above, and for each $i \in I$ let A_i^0 be a maximal k-split torus containing A_i .
- **Lemma 2.4.** Let A_i be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G and $P \supset A_i$ a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup. If $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ is a maximal k-split torus of G, then $A_i^0 \subset P$.
- *Proof.* Let $P_1 \supset A_i$ be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G contained in P. From Proposition 1.13 it follows that $Z_G(A_i) = P_1 \cap \sigma(P_1) \subset P \cap \sigma(P) \subset P$. So clearly $A_i^0 \subset Z_G(A_i) \subset P$.
- **Lemma 2.5.** Let A be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, A_1, A_2 maximal k-split tori of $Z_G(A)$ and P_1, P_2 minimal parabolic k-subgroups of $Z_G(A)$. Then we have the following.
 - (i) A_1 and A_2 are conjugate under $(Z_G(A) \cap H)_k$.
- (ii) P_1 and P_2 are conjugate under $(Z_G(A) \cap H)_k$.
- Proof. Write $Z_G(A) = C \cdot L_1 \cdot L_2$ as an almost direct product of k-groups where C, L_1 , L_2 denote respectively the central, anisotropic and isotropic factors of $Z_G(A)$ over k. Then A_1 and A_2 are maximal k-split tori of $C \cdot L_2$. Since $L_2 \subset H$ (see [23, 4.5 (ii)]) the result is clear.
- As for (ii), note that we can write $P_1 = C \cdot L_1 \cdot \tilde{P}_1$, $P_2 = C \cdot L_1 \cdot \tilde{P}_2$ with \tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2 minimal parabolic k-subgroups of L_2 . Now the result follows from the fact that $L_2 \subset H$.

In the following we will use the notion of "standard parabolic" as in [5]. In particular if $\Delta^0 \subset \Phi(A_i^0, G)$ is a fundamental basis and $\mathfrak{C}(A_i^0)$ the corresponding chamber, then the standard parabolic k-subgroups of G are those P(F) with F a facet of $\mathfrak{C}(A_i^0)$. Note that, since the facets of $\mathfrak{C}(A_i^0)$ correspond with subsets of Δ^0 , the standard parabolic k-subgroups can also be described by using subsets of Δ^0 . For a facet F let $\Delta^0(F) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^0 \mid \alpha(F) = 0\}$ be the corresponding subset of Δ^0 . Conversely if $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta^0$, then we denote the corresponding facet of $\mathfrak{C}(A_i^0)$ by F_{Δ_1} , and we will also write P_{Δ_1} for the standard parabolic k-subgroup $P(F_{\Delta_1})$.

Proposition 2.6. Let A_i be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ a maximal k-split torus of G, Δ a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$, $\overline{\Delta}_{\sigma}$ the corresponding basis of $\Phi(A_i)$ and $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) P_{Δ_1} is a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G.
- (ii) There exists a subset Π of $\overline{\Delta}_{\sigma}$ such that $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha | A_i^- \in \Pi \cup \{0\}\}.$
- (iii) $\Delta_0(\sigma) = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\} \subset \Delta_1, \text{ and } \Phi(\Delta_1) = \Phi(A_i^0) \cap \mathbb{Z}\Delta_1 \text{ is } \sigma\text{-stable.}$

Proof. Write $X = X^*(A_i^0)$ and $\Phi = \Phi(A_i^0)$.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Assume P_{Δ_1} is σ -split. By Lemma 1.12 there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A_i)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $P \cap \theta(P) = Z_G(\lambda)$. Moreover, $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. Since $\lambda \in X_*(A_i)$, we have

$$\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = \langle \sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\lambda) \rangle = \langle \sigma(\alpha), -\lambda \rangle.$$

So clearly $\Delta_0(\sigma) \subset \Delta_1$. It remains to show that $\Phi(\Delta_1)$ is σ -stable.

As in (1.2) write $\sigma = -\operatorname{id} \sigma^* w_0(\sigma)$, where $w_0(\sigma) \in W_0(\sigma)$ is the longest element of $W_0(\sigma)$ with respect to $\Delta_0(\sigma)$ and $\sigma^* \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Phi, \Delta, \Delta_0(\sigma))$, $(\sigma^*)^2 = \operatorname{id}$. If $\alpha \in \Delta_1 - \Delta_0(\sigma)$, then by (1.4) we have $\sigma(\alpha) = -\sigma^*(\alpha) + \beta$ with $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta - \Delta_0(\sigma)$ and $\beta \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$. It suffices to show now that $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta_1$. But since $\Delta_0(\sigma) \subset \Delta_1$ we have $\langle \beta, \lambda \rangle = 0$ and hence

$$\langle \sigma^*(\alpha), \lambda \rangle = \langle -\sigma(\alpha) + \beta, \lambda \rangle = -\langle \sigma(\alpha), \lambda \rangle + \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

It follows that $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta_1 - \Delta_0(\sigma)$ and $\Phi(\Delta_1)$ is σ -stable.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\Pi = \{\alpha | A_i \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1 - \Delta_0(\sigma)\}$. Since Δ is a σ -basis we have $\Pi \subset \overline{\Delta}_{\sigma}$. So clearly $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha | A_i^- \in \Pi \cup \{0\}\}$.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Since $\sigma(\Pi) = -\Pi$, it follows that $\Phi(\Delta_1)$ is σ -stable and $\Delta_0(\sigma) \subset \Delta_1$. $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let $\lambda \in X_*(A_i^0)$ be such that $P_{\Delta_1} = P(\lambda)$. Then $\Phi(\Delta_1) = \Phi(\lambda)$ and $\Phi(A_i^0, P_{\Delta_1}) = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \geq 0\}$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle > 0$. Then $\alpha \in \Delta - \Delta_1$. Since $\Delta_0(\sigma) \subset \Delta_1$ we also have $\alpha \in \Delta - \Delta_0(\sigma)$. Similarly as above we get $\sigma(\alpha) = -\sigma^*(\alpha) + \beta$ with $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta - \Delta_0(\sigma)$ and $\beta \in \Phi_0(\sigma)$. Since $\Phi(\Delta_1)$ is σ -stable it follows that $\sigma^*(\alpha) \in \Delta - \Delta_1$. But then

$$\langle \sigma(\alpha), \lambda \rangle = \langle -\sigma^*(\alpha) + \beta, \lambda \rangle = -\langle \sigma^*(\alpha), \lambda \rangle + \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle = -\langle \sigma^*(\alpha), \lambda \rangle < 0$$

It follows that $P_{\Delta_1} \cap \sigma(P_{\Delta_1}) = Z_G(\lambda)$, and hence P_{Δ_1} is σ -split.

Every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G containing one of the A_i $(i \in I)$ is conjugate under $N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ with a standard σ -split parabolic k-subgroup, as follows from the following result.

Proposition 2.7. Let P be a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G with $P \supset A_i$ for some $i \in I$, $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G and Δ a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$. Then there exists $n \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ such that nPn^{-1} is a standard σ -split parabolic k-subgroup.

Proof. Write $X = X^*(A_i^0)$ and $\Phi = \Phi(A_i^0)$. Note first that it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $A_i^0 \subset P$. Let $\lambda \in X_*(A_i)$ be such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $P \cap \sigma(P) = Z_G(\lambda)$. Let $\Phi(\lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$ be the root system of $Z_G(\lambda)$ with respect to A_i^0 . Since $\sigma(\lambda) = -\lambda$ it follows that $\Phi(\lambda)$ is σ -stable.

Let $X(\lambda) = \{\chi \in X \mid \langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. Then $X(\lambda)$ is a σ -stable cotorsion free submodule of X. Let \succ be a σ -order on $(X(\lambda), \Phi(\lambda))$ and extend this to an order on (X, Φ) by choosing an order on $X/X(\lambda)$. Since $X(\lambda) \supset X_0(\sigma)$ and $X(\lambda)$ is σ -stable, it follows that \succ is a σ -order on (X, Φ) . Let Δ_1 be the basis corresponding to \succ and let $\Delta_1(\lambda) = \Delta_1 \cap \Phi(\lambda)$ be the corresponding basis of $\Phi(\lambda)$. Note that $P = P(\lambda) = P_{\Delta(\lambda)}$.

Since Δ and Δ_1 are both σ -bases of Φ , they induce bases $\overline{\Delta}_{\sigma}$ and $\overline{(\Delta_1)}_{\sigma}$ of $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma} = \Phi(A_i)$. Since A_i is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G and $\Phi(A_i)$ is a root system, there exists $w \in W(A_i)$ such that $w(\overline{(\Delta_1)}_{\sigma}) = \overline{\Delta}_{\sigma}$. Then $w(\Delta_1)$ and Δ are σ -bases of Φ which induce the same restricted basis of $\Phi(A_i)$. It follows now from [16, 2.5] that there exists $w_0 \in W_0(\sigma)$ such that $w_0w(\Delta_1) = \Delta$. Let $n, n_0 \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ be representatives of w and w_0 respectively. If $\Delta_2 = w_0w(\Delta(\lambda)) \subset \Delta$, then $P_{\Delta_2} = n_0nPn^{-1}n_0^{-1}$ is a standard parabolic k-subgroup of G. Since $w_0w\sigma = \sigma w_0w$, it follows that $\Phi(\Delta_2) = w_0w\Phi(\lambda)$ is σ -stable. But since clearly $\Delta_0(\sigma) \subset \Delta_2$, it follows now from Proposition 2.6 that $\Phi(\Delta_2)$ is σ -split. \square

Combining this with Lemma 2.3, we now get the following result:

Corollary 2.8. Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G, and for each $i \in I$ let $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G and Δ^i a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$. If P is any σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then for $i \in I$ there exist $h \in H_k$, $n \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ and a subset Δ_1 of Δ^i such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_1}$.

Proof. This result is immediate from Lemma 2.3, Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7. $\hfill\Box$

For the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups of G we can even show a more detailed characterization. If we denote the set of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups of G containing a maximal (σ, k) -split torus A by $\mathfrak{P}(A)$, then we have the following result:

Theorem 2.9. For each $i \in I$, let A_i be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, Δ^i a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$ and $\Delta_0^i(\sigma) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^i \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\}$. Then we have the following.

- (i) For each $i \in I$, $P_{\Delta_0^i(\sigma)}$ is a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G.
- (ii) If P is any minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then for $i \in I$ there exist $h \in H_k$ and $n \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_0^i(\sigma)}$.
- (iii) There is a bijective correspondence between $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$ and the bases for $\Phi(A_i)$.
- (iv) For each $i \in I$ the group $W(A_i)$ acts simply transitively on $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$.
- (v) There is a bijective correspondence between the H_k -conjugacy classes of the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups in $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$ and $W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$.

Proof. (i). Let $P = P_{\Delta_0^i(\sigma)}$. Since $\Phi_0(\sigma)$ is σ -stable it follows from Proposition 2.6 that P is σ -split. By Lemma 1.12 there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A_i)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $P \cap \theta(P) = Z_G(\lambda)$. Let $\Phi(\lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Phi(A_i) \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. Since $\Delta_0^i(\sigma) \subset \Phi(\lambda)$, it

follows that $\Phi(\lambda) = \Phi(\Delta_0(\sigma)) = \Phi_0(\sigma)$. But then $Z_G(\lambda) = Z_G(A_i)$, and hence it follows from Proposition 1.13(iv) that P is minimal σ -split.

(ii). Let P be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.3 there exists $h \in H_k$ such that $hPh^{-1} \supset A_i$ for some $i \in I$. The result now follows from Proposition 2.7.

(iii). Since each $w \in W(A_i)$ has a representative in $N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$, the group $W(A_i)$ acts on $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$.

Let $P \in \mathfrak{P}(A_i)$ be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.4 we have $P \supset A_i^0$, and by Proposition 2.7 there exists $n \in N_{G_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{G_k}(A_i)$ be such that nPn^{-1} is standard. Let $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta^i$ be such that $nPn^{-1} = P_{\Delta_1}$. From Proposition 2.7 it follows that $\Delta_1 \supset \Delta_0^i(\sigma)$, but since P is minimal σ -split it follows from (i) that $\Delta_1 = \Delta_0^i(\sigma)$. We obtain a bijective correspondence between the bases for $\Phi(A_i)$ and $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$.

(iv). Since $W(A_i)$ acts simply transitive on the bases of $\Phi(A_i)$, (iv) follows from (iii).

(v). Assume $P_1, P_2 \in \mathfrak{P}(A_i)$ are H_k -conjugate. Let $h \in H_k$ be such that $hP_1h^{-1} = P_2$ and let $A = hA_i^0h^{-1}$. Then A and A_i^0 are σ -stable maximal k-split tori of P_2 . Since $A^- \subset P_2 \cap \sigma(P_2) = Z_G(A_i)$, it follows that A^-A_i is a (σ, k) -split torus of G. But since A_i is maximal (σ, k) -split it follows that $A^- = A_i$. So A and A_i^0 are σ -stable maximal k-split tori of $Z_G(A_i)$. By Lemma 2.5 there exists $h \in (Z_G(A_i) \cap H)_k$ such that $hAh^{-1} = A_i^0$. It follows that P_1 and P_2 are conjugate under $N_{H_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{H_k}(A_i)$, which proves the result.

Remark 2.10. In order to give a more detailed description, or possibly even a classification, of the H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups, one first needs a classification of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. This classification follows from the classification of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -stable maximal k-split tori of G. An initial study of these conjugacy classes was carried out in [17, 18, 19] (see also [20]). However a full classification of these conjugacy classes has only been completed for k algebraically closed or the real numbers. In order to classify these conjugacy classes over other base fields, one will need first a classification of the symmetric k-varieties for those fields. Some of the necessary fine structure related to these symmetric k-varieties was classified in [15] (see also [21]), but a classification of the quadratic elements characterizing the isomorphy classes is still needed. Once the classification of the symmetric k-varieties is finished, one can try to classify the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori for a number of base fields. Note that in most cases there are in fact infinitely many H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori, as can be seen from Example 1.17. In the case of local fields there are only finitely many conjugacy classes and a classification will be feasible. In section 4 we discuss a special case, where there is only one H_k -conjugacy class of maximal (σ, k) -split tori and for which we can give a more detailed description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. In the case of local fields we can also give a slightly more detailed description of the conjugacy classes as follows (see also Theorem 3.6).

2.11. Orbits over local fields. In the case that k is a local field, we can refine some of the above results. So for the remainder of this section assume that k is a local field. Let A be a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $A_0 \supset A$ a maximal k-split torus of G, $P_1 \supset A$ a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$, $P \subset P_1$ a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G with $A_0 \subset P$. By [7] there exists an " A_0 -good"

maximal compact subgroup K_k of G_k such that $G_k = K_k P_k = P_k K_k$ (Iwasawa decomposition).

We now have the following generalization of Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.9(ii):

Proposition 2.12. Assume k is a local field, and let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. For each $i \in I$ let $A_i^0 \supset A_i$ be a maximal k-split torus of G, Δ^i a σ -basis of $\Phi(A_i^0)$, $\Delta_0^i(\sigma) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^i \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\}$ and K_i^0 and A_i^0 -good maximal compact subgroup of G_k as in 2.11. If P is any σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then we have the following:

- (i) There exist (for $i \in I$) $h \in H_k$, $n \in N_{K_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{K_k}(A_i)$ and a subset Δ_1 of Δ^i such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_1}$.
- (ii) If P is a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then for $i \in I$ there exist $h \in H_k$ and $n \in N_{K_k}(A_i^0) \cap N_{K_k}(A_i)$ such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_n^i(\sigma)}$.
- (iii) The number of H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups is finite.

Proof. By Corollary 2.8 there exist $i \in I$ and $h \in H_k$ such that $hPh^{-1} \supset A_i^0$. Since by [7], $W(A_i^0)$ has representatives in K_k^i , (i) follows from Corollary 2.8 and (ii) follows from Proposition 2.9(ii).

Finally (iii) follows from the fact that for k a local field there are only finitely many H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k (see [23, 6.15]).

3. Characterization of $(HP)_k$

Instead of H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups one could study the more general problem of H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k (or equivalently $H_k \times P_k$ -orbits on G_k). Naturally not all parabolic subgroups contained in G_k/P_k are still σ -split. Similar to [18], one could define these to be "quasi σ -split" (i.e. parabolic k-subgroups of G which are G_k -isomorphic to a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup). Using a similar approach as in [18] and [23, §6], one can derive a characterization of these H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k in terms of H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -stable quasi (σ,k) -split tori (i.e. k-tori which are G_k -isomorphic to a (σ,k) -split torus) and their Weyl groups. This characterization is quite technical and hard to derive. On the other hand, for the study of the principal series representations on these symmetric k-varieties we mainly need a characterization of the "open orbits", which is equivalent to a characterization of the $H_k \times P_k$ -orbits in $(HP)_k$, for P a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. The following result characterizes the orbits of H_k on G_k/P_k contained in the open orbit HP.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. There is a one to one correspondence between the $H_k \times P_k$ -orbits on G_k contained in $(HP)_k$ and $\bigcup_{i \in I} W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$. In particular the orbits are characterized by $H_k n P_k$ with n a representative for $W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$ in $N_{G_k}(A_i)$ $(i \in I)$.

Proof. If $g \in (HP)_k$, then gPg^{-1} is σ -split. Indeed, write g = hp with $h \in H$ and $p \in P$. Then $gPg^{-1} = hPh^{-1}$ and consequently gPg^{-1} and $\sigma(gPg^{-1})$ are opposite, which proves that gPg^{-1} is σ -split.

On the other hand if P_1 is minimal σ -split, then by [23, 4.11] there exists $g \in (HP)_k$ such that $P_1 = gPg^{-1}$. It follows that we have a one-to-one correspondence between $H_k \setminus (HP)_k / P_k$ and the H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic

k-subgroups. By Lemma 2.3 every minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G is H_k -conjugate with one containing one of the A_i $(i \in I)$. But by Theorem 2.9(v) the H_k -conjugacy classes of minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups in $\mathfrak{P}(A_i)$ correspond bijectively with $W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$, which proves the result.

Another way to characterize the sets $W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$ is in terms of the restricted Weyl group of G as follows:

Lemma 3.2. Let A a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G and $A^0 \supset A$ be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G. Let $W(A, A^0) = W(A) \cap W(A^0) = \{w \in W(A^0) \mid w(A) \subset A\}$. Then

$$W(A)/W_{H_k}(A) \simeq W(A, A^0)/W_{H_k}(A^0).$$

Proof. Let $\Phi_0(\sigma) = \{\alpha \in \Phi(A^0) \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\}$, and $W_0(\sigma)$ the Weyl group of $\Phi_0(\sigma)$, which we identify with a subgroup of $W(A^0)$. From [33, Proposition 2.1.4] it follows that

$$W(A) \simeq W(A, A^0)/W_0(\sigma).$$

Since by Lemma 1.10 $W_0(\sigma)$ has representatives in H_k , the result follows.

The orbits which are not contained in the open orbit HP can be described as follows:

Proposition 3.3. Let P be a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, A a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G with A^- a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, and H_kgP_k an $H_k \times P_k$ -orbit on G_k which is not contained in $(HP)_k$. Then there exists $x \in G_k$ such that $H_kxP_k = H_kgP_k$ and xAx^{-1} is σ -stable and

$$\dim(xAx^{-1}\cap H) > \dim(A^+).$$

Proof. Let $P_0 \subset P$ be a minimal parabolic k-subgroup with $A \subset P_0$. Then gAg^{-1} is a maximal k-split torus of gP_0g^{-1} . By [23, 2.4] there exists $h \in (H \cap R_u(gP_0g^{-1}))_k$ such that $A_1 = hgAg^{-1}h^{-1}$ is σ -stable. Let x = hg. Clearly $H_kxP_k = H_kgP_k$ and $A_1 = xAx^{-1}$ is σ -stable. So it remains to show that dim $A_1^+ = \dim(xAx^{-1} \cap H) > \dim(A^+)$. If dim $A_1^+ = \dim(A^+)$, then A_1^- is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. By Lemma 1.16 there exists $y \in (HZ_G(A_1))_k$ such that $yA_1y^{-1} = A$. But then $H_kxP_k \subset (HP)_k$, which contradicts the assumption.

3.4. Open orbits over local fields. In the case that k is a local field we also have the topology on G_k induced from the field k, and in this case Theorem 3.1 in fact characterizes precisely the open H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k . So for the remainder of this section we assume that k is a local field. We first recall the following characterization of the open orbits of a minimal parabolic k-subgroup acting on the symmetric k-variety G_k/H_k (see [23, 13.4]).

Proposition 3.5. Let k be a local field, σ an involution of G defined over k, H an open k-subgroup of G_{σ} and P a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of G. Assume the topology on G_k is the one induced from that of k. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) P is contained in a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G.
- (ii) P_kH_k is open in G_k .

It follows from this result and [23, 9.2] that $H_k g P_k$ is open in G_k if and only if H g P is also open in G. This leads to the following characterization of the open H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k .

Theorem 3.6. Assume k is a local field and let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be representatives of the H_k -conjugacy classes of maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. There is a one to one correspondence between the open H_k -orbits on G_k/P_k and $\bigcup_{i \in I} W(A_i)/W_{H_k}(A_i)$.

Proof. If $H_k g P_k$ is open in G_k , then H g P is also open in G. This yields that H P and H g P are the same open orbit of P in $H \setminus G$; hence $g \in (H P)_k$. Conversely if $H_k x P_k \subset (H P)_k$, then by Proposition 3.5 $H_k x P_k$ is open in G_k . The result follows now from Theorem 3.1.

3.7. Anisotropic fixed point group. In the case that H is anisotropic over k a lot more is known about the structure and geometry of the corresponding symmetric k-varieties. Consequently the representation theory of these symmetric k-varieties is studied before one attempts the general case. For example in the case that $k = \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding symmetric k-varieties, also called Riemannian symmetric spaces, were studied long before the non-Riemannian symmetric spaces (see [13]). In the following we show how the above results can be refined in this special case. So for the rest of this section assume that H is anisotropic over k. From [23, 10.5] it follows that all k-orbits are contained in the open orbit HP:

Proposition 3.8. Let σ be an involution of G defined over k and H be an open k-subgroup of G_{σ} . If $[G,G] \cap H$ is anisotropic over k, then $G_k = (PH^0)_k$ for any minimal parabolic k-subgroup P of G.

3.9. Let A be a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G. Since H is anisotropic over k it follows that A is also σ -split. Contrary to the case that $k = \mathbb{R}$, the torus A does not need to be maximal σ -split. Consequently one cannot expect that all maximal (σ, k) -split tori are conjugate under H_k . To ensure these properties we need to impose additional conditions, which are satisfied in the case that $k = \mathbb{R}$ and also for some of the \mathfrak{p} -adic symmetric k-varieties.

Definition 3.10. Let σ be an involution of G defined over k and let H be an open k-subgroup of G_{σ} . We will call the symmetric pair (G, H) a (σ, k) -anisotropic pair if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $[G,G] \cap H$ is anisotropic over k.
- (2) All σ -stable maximal k-split tori of G are maximal σ -split.
- (3) For any σ -stable maximal k-split torus A of G we have

$$(3.1) (H^0 A)_k = H_k^0 A_k$$

For these (σ, k) -anisotropic pairs we can now establish the following results:

Theorem 3.11. Let (G, H) be a (σ, k) -anisotropic pair, A a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G and $P \supset A$ a minimal parabolic k-subgroup with unipotent radical $U = R_u(P)$. Then we have the following.

- (i) $(H^0Z_G(A))_k = (H^0A)_k = H_kA_k$.
- (ii) $G_k = (H^0 P)_k = H_k P_k$.
- (iii) All σ -stable maximal k-split tori of G are conjugate under H_k .
- $(iv) N_{G_k}(A) = N_{H_k}(A)Z_{G_k}(A).$

Proof. Since A is maximal σ -split, (i) follows from 1.9 and condition (3.1).

(ii). From [23, Lemma 10.2] it follows that

$$(H^0P)_k = (H^0Z_G(A))_k U_k = H_k A_k U_k.$$

But then the result follows from (i) and Proposition 3.8.

(iii) is immediate from (ii) and Lemma 2.5. Finally, (iv) follows from (ii), (iii) and Theorem 3.1. \Box

Remark 3.12. Note that the condition that (G, H) is a (σ, k) -anisotropic pair implies that the group G_k has an Iwasawa type decomposition: $G_k = H_k A_k U_k$.

4. Groups with a Cartan involution

In a number of cases one can give a more detailed description of the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. In this section we discuss one such special case, namely the "groups with a Cartan involution". This includes the case of real symmetric k-varieties, also called reductive symmetric spaces.

4.1. Cartan involutions. In the study of real reductive groups and their representations the Cartan involution has been one of the essential tools (see [14]). In [23] the notion of Cartan involution was extended to groups defined over formally real fields satisfying the additional condition $(k^{\times})^2 = (k^{\times})^4$. Recall that a field is called formally real if -1 is not the sum of squares (see [3, 30]). The Cartan involutions are defined as follows (see [23, 11.8]).

Definition 4.2. Let k be a formally real field, G a connected reductive algebraic k-group, θ an involution of G defined over k and A a maximal (θ, k) -split torus of G. Let $K = G_{\theta}$ be the fixed point group of θ . We call θ a Cartan involution of G (over k) if K is anisotropic over k, $-1 \notin (k^{\times})^2 = (k^{\times})^4$ and G_k satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $(i) G_k = K_k^0 A_k K_k^0.$
- $(ii) \ G_k = K_k A_k K_k.$
- (iii) $G_k = U_k A_k K_k$ and $\tau(G_k)$ consists of k-split semisimple elements.
- (iv) $G_k = U_k A_k K_k^0$ and $\tau(G_k)$ consists of k-split semisimple elements.
- (v) $G_k = \tau(G_k)G_{\theta}(k)$ and $\tau(G_k)$ consists of k-split semisimple elements.

Remark 4.3. The condition $-1 \notin (k^{\times})^2 = (k^{\times})^4$ is naturally satisfied in the case that k is "real closed", i.e. k is formally real, but has no formally real proper algebraic extension field (see [30, 3.2]). In fact in this case the Cartan involutions for the classical real reductive groups generalize to reductive groups over real closed fields. It is an open question if the Cartan involutions for reductive groups over real closed fields are the same as those for the real reductive groups. An example of a Cartan involution for a reductive group over a real closed field is given in the following:

Example 4.4. Let k be a real closed field, $G = \operatorname{SL}_n(k)$, $\theta(g) = {}^tg^{-1}$ and $K = G_{\theta} = \{g \in G \mid {}^tg = g^{-1}\} = \operatorname{SO}_n(k)$. The group A of diagonal matrices is a maximal (θ, k) -split torus of G, which is also a maximal k-torus. Now θ is a Cartan involution of G. For n = 2 this can be seen as follows. The set $\tau(G)$ consist of the symmetric k-matrices. If $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(k)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SO}_2(k)$, then $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ap-bq & aq+bp \\ cp-dq & cq+dp \end{pmatrix} \in \tau(G)$ if and only if (a+d)q = (c-b)p. If a+d=0 we can take p=0. If $a+d\neq 0$, then we can take $q=\frac{(c-b)}{(a+d)}p$ and $p\in k$ such that $p^2(1+\frac{(c-b)^2}{(a+d)^2})=p^2+q^2=1$. So we may assume $x\in\tau(G)$. But then by [30,2.5] there exists $h\in\operatorname{SO}_2(k)$ such that $hx^th\in A$. It follows from 4.2(v) that θ is a Cartan involution of G.

For the groups with a Cartan involution as defined above, most of their structure can be derived from the additional structure provided by the Cartan involution. A thorough discussion of the groups with a Cartan involution can be found in [23, §11]. One of the main properties of these groups which we will use, is the following (see [23, 11.17]).

Lemma 4.5. If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is a k-involution, then there exists a Cartan involution $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ which commutes with σ .

For the rest of this section we assume $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is a k-involution and $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is a Cartan involution satisfying $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$. We will use the same notation as in section 1. In particular, H denotes a k-open subgroup of G_{σ} and $K = G_{\theta}$.

4.6. In the description of the relevant principal series representations for reductive symmetric spaces (see [1, 8]) $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups are used, and these are characterized in a similar way as is done in the previous sections for the σ -split parabolic k-subgroups. In the following we first establish the correspondence between the H_k -conjugacy classes of σ -split parabolic k-subgroups and the $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups, and use this to refine the characterization of the orbits in 2.9 and 3.1. We note that for $k = \mathbb{R}$ some of the results about $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups can be found in [1]. However the proofs and arguments used here provide an algebraization of those results and hold also in the context of "groups with a Cartan involution" as defined above.

To establish the above correspondence we first need to prove a few results about the $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups. We recall the following result from [23]:

Proposition 4.7. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ be a k-involution, $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ a Cartan involution satisfying $\sigma \theta = \theta \sigma$, A a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G and $A^0 \supset A$ a σ -stable maximal k-split torus of G. Then we have the following.

- (i) A^0 is H_k -conjugate to a θ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, which is also maximal θ -split.
- (ii) All σ and θ -stable maximal k-split tori of G containing a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G are conjugate under $(H \cap K)^0_k$.

Proof. This result follows immediate from [23, 11.4] and [23, 11.18].

To show that $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups are in fact σ -split we need the following:

Lemma 4.8. Let P be a $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G. Then $P \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$. Moreover if P is a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G, then $P \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$.

Proof. Since P is $\sigma\theta$ -stable it follows from [23, Lemma 1.7] that $PG^0_{\sigma\theta}$ is closed in G. Let B be a Borel subgroup of P and consider the action of B on PH/H. Since $PG^0_{\sigma\theta}$ is closed in G, B has a closed orbit in PH/H. It follows that there is $x \in P$ such that BxH is closed in G. By [35, Cor. 6.6 (i)], $x^{-1}Bx$ is $\sigma\theta$ -stable, and by [31, 5.1] $x^{-1}Bx \cap G^0_{\sigma\theta}$ is a Borel subgroup of $G^0_{\sigma\theta}$. Since $P \cap G^0_{\sigma\theta} \supset x^{-1}Bx \cap G^0_{\sigma\theta}$, it follows that $P \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$.

Assume next that P is a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G. Then by [23, Proposition 3.5] there exists a $\sigma\theta$ -stable maximal k-split torus A of P such that $A_{\sigma\theta}^+$ is a maximal k-split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$ and $Z_G(A_{\sigma\theta}^+)$ is a $\sigma\theta$ -stable Levi k-subgroup

of P. But then $Z_G(A_{\sigma\theta}^+) \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is the Levi k-subgroup of a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$, and consequently $P \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$.

Lemma 4.9. Let σ and θ be as above. Then we have the following:

- (i) $\theta | G_{\sigma\theta} = \sigma | G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a Cartan involution of $G_{\sigma\theta}$.
- (ii) All θ -stable maximal k-split tori of $G_{\sigma\theta}$ are maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G.
- (iii) Every maximal k-split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$ is conjugate under $G_{\sigma\theta}^{0}(k)$ to a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G.
- *Proof.* (i) is immediate from [23, 11.9].

As for (ii), assume that A_1 is a θ -stable maximal k-split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$. Since $\theta|G_{\sigma\theta}=\sigma|G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a Cartan involution, it follows from [23, 11.4] that A_1 is a maximal θ -split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$. Similarly A_1 is a maximal σ -split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$. That A_1 is a maximal (σ,k) -split torus of G follows from the observation that $G_{\sigma\theta}$ contains a maximal (σ,k) -split torus of G. Namely, if A_2 is a θ -stable maximal k-split torus with $(A_2)_{\sigma}^-$ a maximal (σ,k) -split, then by [23, 11.4] A_2 is also θ -split and $(A_2)_{\sigma}^- \subset G_{\sigma\theta}$.

Finally, (iii) follows from (ii) and the fact that every maximal k-split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$ is conjugate under $G_{\sigma\theta}(k)$ to a θ -stable maximal k-split torus. This proves the result.

The following result characterizes the facets corresponding to the $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups of G.

Lemma 4.10. Let P be a $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G, M a $\sigma\theta$ -stable Levi k-subgroup of P and A a $\sigma\theta$ -stable maximal k-split torus of M. Then there is a $\lambda \in X_*(A_{\sigma\theta}^+)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $M = Z_G(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let F be the facet with P = P(F). Since $P(F) = \sigma\theta(P(F)) = P(\sigma\theta(F))$, it follows that $\sigma\theta(F) = F$. Take $\delta \in X_*(A) \cap F$. Since $\sigma\theta(F) = F$, it follows that $\sigma\theta(\delta) \in F$ and $\lambda = \delta + \sigma\theta(\delta) \in X_*(A_{\sigma\theta}^+) \cap F$. Then λ satisfies the above conditions.

Proposition 4.11. Every $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G is σ -split.

Proof. Let P_1 be a $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G and $P \subset P_1$ be a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G. By Lemma 4.8, $P \cap G_{\sigma\theta}$ is a minimal parabolic k-subgroup of $G_{\sigma\theta}$. Let A be a θ -stable maximal k-split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$ contained in P. It follows from Lemma 4.9 that A is also a maximal (σ,k) -split torus of G. Let $A_1 \supset A$ be a $\sigma\theta$ -stable maximal k-split torus of P. From Lemma 4.10 it follows that there are $\lambda, \lambda_1 \in X_*((A_1)_{\sigma\theta}^+)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$ and $P_1 = P(\lambda_1)$. Since A is a maximal k split torus of $G_{\sigma\theta}$, we have $(A_1)_{\sigma\theta}^+ = A$. But then, since A is (σ,k) -split, we have $\sigma(\lambda) = -\lambda$ and $\sigma(\lambda_1) = -\lambda_1$, and hence P and P_1 are σ -split. \square

The converse of this result is not true. However, if a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G contains a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, we have the following.

Proposition 4.12. Let A be a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $A^0 \supset A$ a θ -stable maximal k-split torus and $P \supset A$ a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. Then we have the following:

- (i) A^0 is maximal θ -split.
- (ii) P is θ -split.

- (iii) P is $\sigma\theta$ -stable.
- (iv) P is minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable if and only if P is minimal σ -split.

Proof. (i) follows from [23, 11.4], and (ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 1.12. As for (ii), note that by Lemma 1.12 there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A)$ such that $P = P(\lambda)$. Since $A \subset A^0$ is θ -split it follows that $\theta(\lambda) = -\lambda$, and therefore P is θ -split.

(iii) follows from (ii), since P is both θ -split and σ -split, and therefore

$$\sigma\theta(P) = \sigma\theta(P(\lambda)) = P(\sigma\theta(\lambda)) = P(\lambda) = P.$$

(iv). Assume first that $P \supset A$ is minimal σ -split. If $P_1 \subset P$ is minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable, then by Proposition 4.11 P_1 is σ -split, and since P is minimal σ -split it follows that $P_1 = P$.

Conversely, assume $P \supset A$ is minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable. By Proposition 4.11 P is also σ -split. If $P_1 \subset P$ is a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, then there exists a σ -stable maximal k-split torus $A_1 \subset P_1$ with A_1^- a maximal (σ,k) -split torus of G. Similarly to $[23,\ 2.4]$ it follows now that A^0 and A_1 are conjugate under $h \in (H \cap R_u(P))_k$. So we may assume that $A_1 = A^0$. But, then, since P_1 is σ -split, it follows from Lemma 1.12 that there exists $\lambda \in X_*(A_1^-)$ such that $P_1 = P(\lambda)$. The result now follows from Lemma 4.10.

Although not every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G is $\sigma\theta$ -stable, they are conjugate under H_k with one which is $\sigma\theta$ -stable.

Proposition 4.13. Every σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G is conjugate under H_k with a $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup.

Proof. Let P_1 be a σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G, $P \subset P_1$ a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G and A a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of P. From Proposition 4.7 it follows that there is an $h \in H_k$ such that $A_1 = hAh^{-1}$ is θ -stable. But then it follows from Proposition 4.12 that A_1 is θ -split and hPh^{-1} is $\sigma\theta$ -stable. Similarly also P_1 is $\sigma\theta$ -stable.

The above results give an adequate characterization of the $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups. What is left is to show that we can restrict to $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups instead of H_k -conjugacy classes. For this we first show the following:

Lemma 4.14. Let A be a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. Then

$$W_{G_k}(A)/W_{H_k}(A) \simeq W_{K_k}(A)/W_{(H_k \cap K_k)^0}(A).$$

Proof. Let $A^0 \supset A$ be a θ -stable maximal k-split torus. By Lemma 4.9 A^0 is also θ -split. Similarly to Lemma 3.2, we can write

(4.1)
$$W(A) \simeq W_{G_k}(A, A^0)/W_0(\sigma),$$

where $W_{G_k}(A,A^0) = W(A) \cap W(A^0) = \{w \in W(A^0) \mid w(A) \subset A\}$ and $W_0(\sigma)$ is as in 1.7. The group $W_{G_k}(A,A^0)$ has representatives in $N_{G_k}(A_k,A_k^0) = \{n \in N_{G_k}(A_k^0) \mid nAn^{-1} \subset A\}$. By [23, 11.5] $N_{G_k}(A_k^0) = A_k^0 \cdot N_{K_k}(A_k^0)$, so it follows that also

$$(4.2) N_{G_k}(A_k, A_k^0) = A_k^0 \cdot N_{K_k}(A_k, A_k^0).$$

The root system $\Phi_0(\sigma)$ can be identified with the root system $\Phi(A_1, G_1)$, where $G_1 = D(Z_G(A)) = [Z_G(A), Z_G(A)]$ and $A_1 = (A^0 \cap G_1)^0$. By [23, 11.9] G_1 is also a group with a Cartan involution. Since A is a maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, the

torus A_1 is a maximal θ -split torus of G, contained in $H \cap G_1$. It follows from [23, 11.5] that $W_0(\sigma) = W(A_1, G_1)$ has representatives in $(H \cap K \cap G_1)(k)$. Combining this with (4.2), we get

(4.3)
$$W_{G_k}(A, A^0)/W_0(\sigma) \simeq W_{K_k}(A, A^0)/W_0(\sigma).$$

Combined with (4.1), this gives us

$$(4.4) W_{G_k}(A) \simeq W_{K_k}(A).$$

Since all σ -stable maximal k-split tori of $Z_G(A)$ are conjugate under $(H \cap K \cap Z_G(A))^0$ and since $W_0(\sigma)$ has representatives in $(H \cap K \cap Z_G(A))(k)$, we also have the following identifications:

$$(4.5) W_{H_b}(A) \simeq W_{H_b}(A, A^0) / W_0(\sigma)$$

and

$$(4.6) W_{(K \cap H)^0}(A) \simeq W_{(K \cap H)^0}(A, A^0) / W_0(\sigma).$$

So it suffices to show that $W_{H_k}(A, A^0) \simeq W_{(K \cap H)_k}(A, A^0)$. So let $h \in H_k$ be a representative of $w \in W_{H_k}(A, A^0)$. By (4.2) we can write

(4.7)

$$h = a_1 a_2 p k_1$$
 with $a_1 \in (A^0)_{\sigma}^+ = a_1 \in (A^0)_{\sigma}^- = A$, $p \in \tau(K)$, $k_1 \in (K \cap H)_k^0$.

Since $\sigma(h)=h$ it follows that $a_2^2=p^{-2}$, and hence $a_2^4=p^{-4}=\mathrm{id}$. On the other hand, since $a_2\in A_k,\ p\in K_k$ and since $-1\not\in (k^\times)^2=(k^\times)^4$ it follows that we must have $a_2^2=p^{-2}=\mathrm{id}$. So $p\in (K\cap H)_k$ and $a=a_1a_2\in (A^0\cap H)_k$. It follows that

(4.8)
$$W_{H_k}(A, A^0) \simeq W_{(K \cap H)_k}(A, A^0),$$

which proves the result.

Proposition 4.15. Let P_1 and P_2 be $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups of G. Then P_1 and P_2 are conjugate under H_k if and only if they are conjugate under $H_k \cap K_k$.

Proof. Let $h \in H_k$ be such that $hP_1h^{-1} = P_2$. Assume first that P_1 and P_2 are minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups of G. Let $A_1 \subset P_1$ and $A_2 \subset P_2$ be θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split tori of G. Then $hA_1h^{-1} \subset P_2$ is a (σ, k) -split torus of G. Since hA_1h^{-1} is σ -stable, we get $hA_1h^{-1} \subset P_2 \cap \sigma(P_2) = Z_G(A_2)$. So $hA_1h^{-1}A_2 \subset Z_G(A_2)$ is a (σ, k) -split torus of G. From the maximality of A_2 it follows that $hA_1h^{-1} = A_2$. On the other hand it follows from Proposition 4.7 that there exists $h_1 \in (H \cap K)_k^0$ such that $h_1A_1h_1^{-1} = A_2$. Let $P = h_1P_1h_1^{-1}$ and $n = hh_1^{-1} \in N_{H_k}(A_2)$. Then both P and P_2 are contained in $\mathfrak{P}(A_2)$, and $nPn^{-1} = P_2$. It follows now from Lemma 4.14 that P and P_2 are conjugate under $N_{(H \cap K)_k^0}(A_2)$, which proves the result for P_1 and P_2 minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups of G.

If P_1 and P_2 are not minimal, then let $P \subset P_1$ be a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G and $A \subset P$ a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. Then $hPh^{-1} \subset P_2$ is a minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroup of G. Let $\tilde{P} \subset P_2$ be a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G and $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{P}$ a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G. Since hAh^{-1} and $\tilde{A} \subset P_2$ are maximal (σ, k) -split, there exists $h_1 \in (P_2 \cap H)_k$ such that $h_1hA_1h^{-1}h_1^{-1} = \tilde{A}$. Now $P_0 = h_1hPh^{-1}h_1^{-1} \subset P_2$ is minimal σ -split, and $\tilde{A} \subset P_0$. By Lemma 1.12 there exists $\lambda \in X_*(\tilde{A})$ such that $P_0 = P(\lambda)$. Since by Proposition 4.7 \tilde{A} is θ -split, it follows that $\theta(\lambda) = -\lambda$ and hence P_0 is θ -split. Since P_0 is also σ -split, it follows that P_0 is $\sigma\theta$ -stable. From

the first part of this proof it follows now that there exists $h_0 \in (H \cap K)_k^0$ such that $\tilde{P} = h_0 P h_0^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{P}_1 = h_0 P_1 h_0^{-1}$. Then \tilde{P}_1 and P_2 are conjugate under $n = h_1 h h_0^{-1} \in N_{H_k}(A_0)$. From Lemma 4.14 it follows now that \tilde{P}_1 and P_2 are conjugate under $N_{(H \cap K)_k^0}(A_2)$, and consequently P_1 and P_2 are conjugate under $(H \cap K)_k^0$.

Combining the above results with those of section 2, we now obtain the following result:

Theorem 4.16. Let A be a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $A^0 \supset A$ a θ -stable maximal k-split torus of G, Δ a σ -basis of $\Phi(A^0)$ and $\Delta_0(\sigma) = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\}$. Then we have the following.

- (i) There is a bijective correspondence between the H_k -conjugacy classes of the minimal σ -split parabolic k-subgroups in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ and the $H_k \cap K_k$ -conjugacy classes of the $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroups in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$.
- (ii) If P is any minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G, then there exist $h \in (H \cap K)_k^0$ and $n \in N_{K_k}(A^0) \cap N_{K_k}(A)$ such that $nhPh^{-1}n^{-1} = P_{\Delta_0(\sigma)}$.

Proof. (i) is immediate from Proposition 4.15. As for (ii), note first that by [23, 11.4] $N_{G_k}(A_k^0) = A_k^0 \cdot N_{K_k^0}(A_k^0)$. Since $W(A) \simeq W(A, A^0)/W_0(\sigma)$, it follows from Lemma 1.10 that W(A) has representatives in $N_{K_k^0}(A_k^0)$. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.9.

Similarly we have the following generalization of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.17. Let A be a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G and $P \supset A$ a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G. There is a one to one correspondence between the $H_k \times P_k$ -orbits on G_k contained in $(HP)_k$ and $W_{K_k}(A)/W_{(H_k \cap K_k)^0}(A)$. In particular the orbits are given by $H_k n P_k$ with $n \in N_{K_k}(A)$ a representative for the coset w in $W_{K_k}(A)/W_{(H_k \cap K_k)^0}(A)$.

Proof. Note first that by Proposition 4.12(iv) P is also minimal σ -split. Since by Proposition 4.7 all maximal (σ, k) -split tori are conjugate under H_k , the result follows from Lemma 4.14 and Theorem 3.1.

We conclude this section with a generalization of Proposition 3.3 to the setting of groups with a Cartan involution.

Proposition 4.18. Let A be a θ -stable maximal (σ, k) -split torus of G, $P \supset A$ a minimal $\sigma\theta$ -stable parabolic k-subgroup of G and $A^0 \supset A$ a θ -stable maximal k-split torus of G. If $H_k g P_k$ is an $H_k \times P_k$ -orbit on G_k which is not contained in $(HP)_k$, then there exists $x \in K_k$ such that $H_k x P_k = H_k g P_k$, $x A^0 x^{-1}$ is both θ - and σ -stable and $\dim(x A^0 x^{-1} \cap H) > \dim((A^0)^+)$.

Proof. Let $H_k g P_k$ be an $H_k \times P_k$ -orbit on G_k which is not contained in $(HP)_k$. By Proposition 3.3 there exists $x \in G_k$ such that $H_k x P_k = H_k g P_k$, $x A^0 x^{-1}$ is σ -stable and $\dim(x A^0 x^{-1} \cap H) > \dim((A^0)^+)$. We need to show that we can actually choose x in K_k . By Proposition 4.7 there exists $h \in H_k$ such that $hx A^0 x^{-1} h^{-1}$ is maximal θ -split. From [23, 11.4] it follows that there exists $k_1 \in K_k^0$ such that $k_1 A^0 k_1^{-1} = hx A^0 x^{-1} h^{-1}$. Now $H_k P_k$ and $H_k k_1^{-1} x P_k$ are orbits containing A, so there exists $w \in W(A, A^0)$ such that $H_k w k_1^{-1} x P_k = H_k P_k$. By Lemma 4.14 w has a representative $k_2 \in N_{K_k}(A^0, A)$. From this it follows that $H_k x P_k = H_k x P_k$

 $H_k k_1 k_2^{-1} P_k$, and since clearly $k_1 k_2^{-1} A^0 k_2 k_1^{-1} = hx A^0 x^{-1} h^{-1}$ is θ - and σ -stable and $\dim(k_1 k_2^{-1} A^0 k_2 k_1^{-1} \cap H) > \dim((A^0)^+)$, the result follows.

References

- E. van den Ban, The principal series for a reductive symmetric space I. H-fixed distribution vectors, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 21 (1988), 359–412. MR 90a:22016
- van den Ban, E. and Schlichtkrull, H., The most continuous part of the Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space, Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), 267–364. CMP 97:10
- 3. E. Becker, Valuations and real places in the theory of formally real fields, Géométrie Algébrique Réelle et Formes Quadratiques (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York) (J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Coste, L. Mahé, et M.-F. Roy , ed.), Lecture Notes Mathematics, vol. 959, Springer Verlag, 1982, pp. 1–40. MR 84f:12011
- M. Berger, Les espaces symétriques non-compacts, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4 (1957), 85–177. MR 21:3516
- A. Borel and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 27 (1965), 55–152. MR 34:7527
- 6. ______, Compléments a l'article "groupes réductifs", Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41 (1972), 253–276. MR 47:3556
- F. Bruhat and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41 (1972), 5–252. MR 48:6265
- J.-L. Brylinski and P. Delorme, Vecteurs distributions H-invariants pour les séries principales géneralisées d'espaces symétriques réductifs et prolongement méromorphe d'integrales d'Eisenstein, Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 619–664. MR 93m:22016
- M. Flensted-Jensen, Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces, Annals of Math. 111 (1980), 253–311. MR 81h:22015
- Harish-Chandra, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups I. The theory of the constant term, J. Funct. Anal. 19 (1975), 103–204. MR 53:3201
- Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups II. Wave packets in the Schwartz space, Invent. Math. 36 (1979), 1–55. MR 55:12874
- Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups III. The Maass-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula, Annals of Math. 104 (1976), 117–201. MR 55:12875
- 13. _____, Harish-Chandra collected papers, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR 85e:01061
- S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. XII, Academic Press, New York, 1978. MR 80k:53081
- 15. A. G. Helminck, On the classification of symmetric k-varieties I, To appear.
- 16. _____, Algebraic groups with a commuting pair of involutions and semisimple symmetric spaces, Adv. in Math. **71** (1988), 21–91. MR **90a**:17011
- 17. _____, Tori invariant under an involutorial automorphism I, Adv. in Math. 85 (1991), 1–38. MR 92a:20047
- 18. _____, Tori invariant under an involutorial automorphism II, Advances in Math. 131 (1997), 1–92. CMP 98:02
- 19. _____, Tori invariant under an involutorial automorphism III, To appear.
- On groups with a Cartan involution, Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups (Hyderabad, India), National Board for Higher Mathematics, 1992, pp. 151– 192. MR 92j:20042
- Symmetric k-varieties, Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Classical Methods (Providence, RI), vol. 56, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., no. Part 1, Amer.Math. Soc, 1994, pp. 233–279. MR 92j:20042
- 22. A. G. Helminck and G. F. Helminck, H_k -fixed distribution vectors for representations related to \mathfrak{p} -adic symmetric varieties, To appear.
- A. G. Helminck and S. P. Wang, On rationality properties of involutions of reductive groups, Adv. in Math. 99 (1993), 26–96. MR 94d:20051
- 24. J. E. Humphreys, Linear algebraic groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975. MR 53:633
- H. Jacquet, K. Lai, and S. Rallis, A trace formula for symmetric spaces, Duke Math. J. 70 (1993). MR 94d:11033
- G. Lusztig, Symmetric spaces over a finite field, The Grothendieck Festschrift Vol. III (Boston, MA), Progr. Math., vol. 88, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 57–81. MR 92e:20034

- 27. T. Matsuki, The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 331–357. MR 81a:53049
- T. Oshima and T. Matsuki, A description of discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., vol. 4, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984, pp. 331–390. MR 87m:22042
- T. Oshima and J. Sekiguchi, Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators in an affine symmetric space, Invent. Math. 57 (1980), 1–81. MR 81k:43014
- A. Prestel, Lectures on formally real fields, Lecture Notes Mathematics, vol. 1093, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984. MR 86h:12013
- 31. R.W. Richardson, Orbits, invariants and representations associated to involutions of reductive groups, Invent. Math. 66 (1982), 287–312. MR 83i:14042
- W. Rossmann, The structure of semisimple symmetric spaces, Canad. J. Math. 31 (1979), 157–180. MR 81i:53042
- 33. I. Satake, Classification theory of semisimple algebraic groups, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 3, Dekker, Berlin, 1971. MR 47:5135
- 34. T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Progr. Math., vol. 9, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1981. MR **84i**:20002
- 35. ______, Some results on algebraic groups with involutions, Algebraic groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., vol. 6, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984, pp. 525–543. MR 86m:20050
- J. A. Wolf, Finiteness of orbit structure for real flag manifolds, Geom. Dedicata 3 (1974), 377–384. MR 51:943

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27695-8205

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: loek@math.ncsu.edu}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITEIT TWENTE, ENSCHEDE, THE NETHERLANDS $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ helminck@math.utwente.nl